REMARKS

In regard to the Examiner's rejection of claim 18 under 35 USC section 112, first

paragraph, Applicants note that the specification beginning at page 19 line 14 through page

20 with reference to Figure 6 describes in great detail how the systems and methods of the

present invention can be utilized to advantageously control traffic lights for the purpose of

increasing safety and the speed with which emergency responders are able to safely travel.

Actual a number of different embodiments are described for controlling traffic lights.

Accordingly, in light of the foregoing, Applicants request that the Examiner withdraw these

rejections.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the prior art rejections

set forth under 35 USC sections 102 and 103. Applicants respectfully submit that the prior

art references of record whether considered alone or in combination fail to either teach or

suggest the presently claimed invention. More specifically, Applicants have modified

independent claim 1 to further require that the signal transmitted to the plurality of vehicles

includes information concerning the location and/or direction of the emergency vehicle based

on actual location information that is derived from GPS data and wherein the alarm signal is

selectively generated depending on whether the location and direction of the emergency

vehicle with reference to a location and/or direction of the vehicle receiving the signal

indicates the emergency vehicle will not be in conflict with the vehicle receiving the signal.

page 5 of 7

Appl. No. 09/774,385

Amdt. Dated March 17, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of September 17, 2004

Applicants respectfully submit that the references of record whether considered alone or in combination fail to either teach or suggest the presently claimed subject matter wherein the alarm signal is only generated as necessary such that unnecessary alerts are eliminated as a result of automatically determining that no potential conflict exists such as when the vehicles are traveling in close proximity but in opposite directions or on different roadways which may be adjacent. These examples are described in the specification but the prior art does not teach or suggest this improvement over the prior art emergency vehicle alert systems.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants request that the Examiner now allow all claims in the application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-1794.

Date: 3/17/05

Respectfully submitted,

Robert J. Depke

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC

131 S. Dearborn, 30th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tel: (312) 263-3600

Attorney for Applicant

Appl. No. 09/774,385 Amdt. Dated March 17, 2005 Reply to Final Office Action of September 17, 2004



## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as Express Mail on 3/17/08 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop - RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicants